	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.: IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	


## INTERNAL REGULATION no. 33/2023

### Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin

<b>IR prepared by:</b> Assoc. Prof. Mgr. Martina Bašková, PhD.	<b>Date:</b> 1. 9. 2023	<b>Signature:</b>
<b>IR considered by:</b> AS of JFMED	<b>Date:</b> 4. 9. 2023	<b>Signature:</b>
<b>IR approved by :</b> prof. Andrea Čalkovská, M.D., DSc., Dean of JFMED CU	<b>Date:</b> 4. 9. 2023	<b>Signature:</b>
<b>No. of copies: 2</b> Copy no. 1 – The office of the Dean of JFMED Copy no. 2 – Study department	<b>Valid from:</b> 4. 9. 2023	<b>Published on:</b> 5. 9. 2023

#### Updates, amendments and additions to the internal regulation:

<b>Amendment 1:</b> Date: Signature:	<b>Amendment 2:</b> Date: Signature	<b>Amendment 3:</b> Date: Signature
--	---	---

	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.: IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	

In accordance with § 53 sect. 9 - 11 and § 63 of Act No. 131/2002 Coll. on Higher Education and on Amendments to Other Acts (hereinafter referred to as "Act") in accordance with the section 12 of the Principles of the Rigorous Examination Procedure at Comenius University in Bratislava (hereinafter referred to as "Act") No. 22/2018, (hereinafter referred to as „UK“) as amended, after discussion in the Management Board of the Jessenius Medical Faculty of CU in Martin on 4 September 2023 I hereby issue the following

### **Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin.**

#### **Article 1 Introductory provisions**

- (1) These principles regulate the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU (hereinafter referred to as "the Faculty") and the requirements for the rigorous examination and the defence of rigorous theses at the Faculty.
- (2) Graduates of study programmes who have obtained the degree "Master" or graduates of similar study programmes abroad (hereinafter referred to as "candidate") may take the rigorous examination, which includes the defence of the rigorous thesis, in the field of study (hereinafter referred to as "rigorous examination") in which they have obtained their higher education or in a related field of study<sup>1</sup>.
- (3) By the rigorous examination and the defence of the rigorous thesis, the candidate is to demonstrate, on the basis of independent study, that he or she has a deeper knowledge of the field of study in its broader basis and is capable of acquiring new knowledge of science and practice independently and is able to apply the acquired knowledge in a creative way in practice.<sup>2</sup>


#### **Article 2 Course of the rigorous examination procedure**

- (1) The rigorous examination procedure begins with the delivery to the faculty of a written application for the rigorous examination.<sup>3</sup>
- (2) The rigorous examination procedure may be interrupted on the basis of a reasoned written request by the candidate, even repeatedly. The interruption of the rigorous examination shall be authorised by the Dean. The Dean's decision to allow or not to allow the interruption of the rigorous examination procedure shall be final and may not be appealed against. If the rigorous procedure is suspended, the time limits under this policy shall not run. Interruption of studies for a period of one year may be granted without giving a reason. If the reason for the interruption is health or serious personal reasons, the interruption may be for a maximum of two years, except for interruptions due to parental leave, where the maximum interruption shall be three years.


<sup>1</sup> §53 Section 9) of the Higher Education Act.

<sup>2</sup> § 53 Section 10) of the Higher Education Act.

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to § 53 Section 11 of the Higher Education Act, the rigorous examination and defence of the rigorous thesis may only be conducted by a higher education institution that has an accredited study programme in the relevant field of study, after the completion of which the academic degree of "Master" is awarded.


	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.:
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..

- (3) The rigorous examination procedure shall be concluded by passing the rigorous examination and the award of the corresponding academic degree.
- (4) The rigorous procedure shall be discontinued if
  - (a) the candidate has abandoned the rigorous examination; the candidate may abandon the rigorous examination by written declaration in documentary form addressed to the Dean,
  - (b) the candidate has not corrected the faults in the application for the rigorous examination within the specified time limit,
  - (c) the candidate has not paid the fee for the acts connected with the rigorous examination and the defence of the rigorous thesis (hereinafter referred to as the 'rigorous examination fee') within the specified time limit, unless the fee has been waived pursuant to a specific internal regulation of the Comenius university,
  - (d) the candidate has not submitted the thesis within 24 months of the commencement of the rigorous examination procedure or of the change of the field of study of the rigorous examination procedure pursuant to section 7 (letter a),
  - (e) the candidate has been marked 'fail' at the correction date of the rigorous examination,
  - (f) the candidate has not requested a revision of the rigorous examination within the specified time limit,
  - (g) the faculty has lost the right to hold rigorous examinations in the field of study of the rigorous examination procedure for which the applicant has applied, and the applicant has not accepted the faculty's proposal to continue the rigorous examination procedure in a related field of study of the rigorous examination procedure within the specified time limit,
  - (h) the candidate has died or been declared dead.
- (5) The Dean shall issue a decision on the discontinuation of the rigorous examination procedure pursuant to section 4 (letter a) to g).
- (6) A candidate may submit an application for a review of the decision to discontinue the rigorous examination procedure; the application may be submitted only if the conditions for the decision to discontinue the rigorous examination procedure have not been fulfilled. The application shall be submitted to the Dean who issued the decision within eight days from the date of receipt of the decision. The provisions of the Study Regulations of JFMED, which regulate the procedure of a student's application for review of a decision to suspend the rigorous examination procedure, shall apply to the procedure of the application for review of a decision to exclude a student from studies.
- (7) If the faculty loses the right to hold rigorous examinations in the field of study of the rigorous examination for which the applicant has applied, the Dean shall propose to the applicant a related field of study in which the faculty has the right to hold rigorous examinations; at the same time, the dean shall instruct the applicant that otherwise the rigorous examination will be discontinued. If the candidate
  - a) accepts the proposal to change the field of study of the rigorous examination, the rigorous examination shall continue in the new field of study of the rigorous examination,
  - b) does not accept the proposal to change the field of study of the rigorous examination, the Dean shall terminate the rigorous examination.
- (8) The Dean shall issue a decision on the change of the field of study of the rigorous examination procedure pursuant to section 7 (letter a); the provisions of Article 3 section 6) shall apply accordingly to the content of the decision.

	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.: IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	

### Article 3 Application for the rigorous examination

- (1) The candidate shall address the application for the rigorous examination to the Dean. The application for the rigorous examination shall be submitted in paper form on the form published on the Faculty's website.
- (2) The application for the rigorous examination shall include
  - a) identification data of the applicant,
  - b) details of the applicant's completed higher education,
  - c) the field of study chosen by the applicant for the rigorous examination,
  - d) a proposal for the topic of the thesis,
  - e) the applicant's consent to the processing of personal data in accordance with generally binding regulations on the protection of personal data,
  - f) the date and signature of the candidate.
- (3) The annexes to the application for the rigorous examination are
  - a) a copy of the diploma,
  - b) a copy of the state examination certificate or a copy of the state final examination certificate,
  - c) a copy of the supplement to the diploma, if one has been issued,
  - d) a curriculum vitae,
  - e) a list of published works with full bibliographical details or public viewings of artistic performances, as well as reviews thereof, if any.
- (4) An applicant who has completed a master's degree
  - a) at the JFMED, shall submit copies of the documents of graduation pursuant to section 3 letter a) to (c),
  - b) at another faculty of Comenius University, shall submit copies of the documents on completion of studies pursuant to section 3 letter a) to c) together with their originals for inspection and verification to the relevant employee of the study department of the faculty or another organisational unit of the faculty in charge of the rigorous examination procedure (hereinafter referred to as the "study department"),
  - c) at the faculty of a higher education institution with its seat in the territory of the Slovak Republic, submit certified copies of the documents of completion of studies referred to in section 3 letter a) to c),
  - d) at the faculty of a higher education institution with its seat outside the territory of the Slovak Republic, submit certified copies of decisions on the recognition of documents on education and copies of documents on the completion of studies.
- (5) The Dean shall assess the correctness and completeness of the application for the rigorous examination and take a written opinion within 30 days from the date of its receipt.
- (6) If the application for the rigorous examination fulfils the requirements pursuant to sections (1) to (4), the dean shall confirm its acceptance to the applicant in writing. The acknowledgement of acceptance of the application for the rigorous examination shall include
  - (a) the exact date on which the rigorous examination procedure referred to in Article 2 section (1) is to begin,

	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.:
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..

(b) the designation of the examination board for the rigorous examinations (hereinafter referred to as 'the examination board'),

(c) the topic of the thesis,

(d) the three subjects of the rigorous examination; the subjects of the rigorous examination shall be selected by the dean on the proposal of the chair of the examination board from the broader base of the field of study chosen by the candidate for the rigorous examination,


e) an invitation to the candidate to pay the rigorous examination fee within 15 days of receipt of the confirmation of acceptance of the application for the rigorous examination.

- (7) If the application for the rigorous examination does not comply with the requirements stated in sections 1 to 4, the Dean shall invite the applicant in writing to correct inaccuracies in the application for the rigorous examination and to complete the necessary data or annexes within a specified time limit, but not less than 15 days; at the same time, he/she shall instruct the applicant that otherwise the rigorous examination procedure will be terminated. If the candidate fails to correct the data in the rigorous examination application within the specified time limit, the dean shall terminate the rigorous examination procedure.
- (8) If the applicant has submitted an application for the rigorous examination in a field of study of the rigorous examination in which the faculty does not have the right to hold rigorous examinations, the Dean shall propose to the applicant in writing a related field of study in which the faculty has the right to hold rigorous examinations. If the candidate does not accept the Dean's proposal within the specified time limit, the Dean shall reject the application for the rigorous examination.

#### **Article 4**

##### **Rigorous thesis**

- (1) The thesis demonstrates the candidate's ability to acquire new scientific knowledge and to develop or apply it in a creative way in practice. Publication of the thesis is not a condition for its defence.
- (2) The topic of the rigorous thesis is chosen by the candidate. The candidate shall choose the topic of the thesis
- (a) choose from among the recommended thesis topics; or
- (b) individually propose it in accordance with the procedure referred to in section 4.
- (3) The recommended thesis topics shall be proposed by the heads of departments or other teaching, research, development or artistic departments and the chairpersons of the examination boards. The recommended thesis topics shall be published on the faculty's website.
- (4) The candidate may propose the topic of the rigorous thesis individually; the candidate shall request the opinion of the chairperson of the rigorous thesis committee on the individually proposed topic of the rigorous thesis. If the opinion of the chairman of the rigorous examination committee is positive, the candidate shall indicate the agreed topic of the rigorous thesis in the application form for the rigorous examination. If the opinion of the chair of the rigorous examination committee is negative, the candidate shall choose another topic for the rigorous examination.
- (5) In exceptional cases, the Dean may, on the basis of a written request from the candidate, authorise a change of the topic of the rigorous thesis; the provisions of paragraphs 2 to 4 shall apply accordingly to the selection of a new topic of the rigorous thesis.
- (6) The thesis shall be prepared in the Slovak language. With the consent of the faculty, the rigorous thesis may also be written and defended in a language other than the Slovak

	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.:
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..


- language. In the case of a rigorous thesis written in a language other than the state language, it shall include an abstract in the state language.
- (7) The basic elements that must be fulfilled by the rigorous thesis, the manner of its submission, originality control, archiving and accessibility are regulated by a special internal regulation of Comenius University.<sup>4</sup>
  - (8) The candidate is obliged to submit the rigorous thesis within 24 months from the commencement of the rigorous proceedings or from the change of the field of study of the rigorous proceedings pursuant to Article 2 section 7 letter a). If the candidate fails to submit the thesis within the specified time limit, the dean shall terminate the rigorous procedure.
  - (9) A candidate may not submit his/her thesis or other qualifying work as a thesis, nor a thesis of a compiling nature.
  - (10) A candidate may also submit as a rigorous thesis his/her own published work or a set of his/her own published scientific works, the content of which elaborates the topic of the rigorous thesis. If the applicant submits a set of his/her own publications, he/she shall supplement it with a detailed introduction in which he/she explains the current state of the subject matter, the objectives of the rigorous thesis, his/her own contribution to the topic of the rigorous thesis, and the conclusions that have been drawn from the solution of the topic of the rigorous thesis. If the enclosed publications are the work of several authors, the candidate shall also enclose a statement by the co-authors of his/her authorship.
  - (11) The Dean shall ensure that the rigorous examination is held within three months of the submission of the rigorous thesis by the candidate at the latest.
  - (12) Except in the cases pursuant to §63 section (11) of the Higher Education Act, a condition of admission to the defence of the rigorous thesis is the consent of the candidate to the publication and public disclosure of the rigorous thesis pursuant to §63 section (9) of the Higher Education Act for the period of its retention pursuant to §63 section (7) of the Higher Education Act, without any entitlement to remuneration.<sup>5</sup>

#### Article 5 Opponent of the thesis

- (1) The thesis shall be assessed by a thesis examiner. The thesis examiner shall draw up a written opinion on the thesis and shall propose an evaluation of the thesis with the classification level "pass" or "fail".
- (2) The opponent shall be appointed by the chairman of the examination board.
- (3) The chairman of the examination board shall send the opponent the rigorous thesis together with a request for the preparation of an opinion. The opponent shall hand in his/her written opinion to the chairman of the examination committee no later than 30 days after receipt of the opinion. If the referee is unable to provide an opinion, he or she shall notify the chair of the examination board without undue delay. If the opponent fails to submit his/her report within the specified time limit, the chairman of the Board of Examiners shall appoint a new opponent.
- (4) The candidate has the right to get acquainted with the opinion on the rigorous thesis not later than 14 days before the date of the rigorous examination.

<sup>4</sup> Rector's Directive 7/2018 Full text of Internal Regulation No. 12/2013 Directive of the Rector of Comenius University in Bratislava on the basic requisites of final theses, rigorous theses and habilitation theses, control of their originality, storage and access to them at Comenius University in Bratislava, as amended by Appendix No. 1 and Appendix No. 2

<sup>5</sup> § 53 sec. 9 of the Higher Education Act.

	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.: IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	

- (5) If the opponent is not a member of the Examination Board, he/she is invited to the rigorous examination and has the right to express his/her opinion during the evaluation of the defence of the rigorous thesis.

### **Article 6 Examination Board**

- (1) The Rigorous examination shall be conducted before an Examination Board.
- (2) University teachers serving as professors and associate professors and other experts approved by the scientific council of the faculty are entitled to sit for the rigorous examination.<sup>6</sup>
- (3) The composition of the examination boards shall be determined by the Dean from among the persons authorised to examine pursuant to section (2). As a rule, the examination boards shall also include eminent experts in a given field of study from other higher education institutions, from legal entities carrying out research and development in the territory of the Slovak Republic or from practice. At least two members of the examination board shall be university teachers acting as professors or associate professors.<sup>7</sup>
- (4) The examination board shall have at least four members.<sup>8</sup> The chairperson of the examination board shall be a university teacher acting in the function of professor or associate professor. A quorum of the examination board shall be present if the chairperson and at least two other members are present.


### **Article 7 Rigorous examination**

- (1) The faculty shall send the candidate an invitation to the rigorous examination at the latest 14 days before the examination. The written invitation to the rigorous examination shall be accompanied by the opinion of the opponent.
- (2) The course of the rigorous examination and the announcement of its results shall be public. The decision of the Examination Board on the result of the rigorous examination shall take place at a closed meeting of the Examination Board.
- (3) The rigorous examination shall consist of two parts:
  - a) the defence of the rigorous thesis; at the defence of the rigorous thesis, the candidate shall comment on the remarks made in the opponent's report and answer questions put by the members of the examination board,
  - b) an oral examination in the specified subjects pursuant to Article 3 section 6 letter d)
- (4) The individual parts of the rigorous examination shall be graded 'pass' or 'fail'. The rigorous examination as a whole shall be graded with the classification grade
  - a) 'pass' if both parts of the rigorous examination have been graded 'pass',
  - b) 'fail' if either or both parts of the rigorous examination have been graded 'fail'.
- (5) The examination board shall decide on the grading of the individual parts of the rigorous examination by consensus. If the Examination Board fails to reach a consensus, the

<sup>6</sup> § 63 sec. 3 of the Higher Education Act.

<sup>7</sup> § 63 sec. 4 and 5 of the Higher Education Act.

<sup>8</sup> § 63 sec. 5 of the Higher Education Act.

	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.:
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..

evaluation of the individual parts of the rigorous examination shall be decided by voting. Each member of the Examination Board shall have one vote. A supermajority of the votes of the members of the Board of Examiners present shall be required to pass the examination.


- (6) If the defence of the rigorous thesis has been evaluated with the classification grade "failed", the examination board shall also determine whether the candidate is obliged to revise or complete the rigorous thesis.
- (7) A record of the course and evaluation of the rigorous examination shall be drawn up; the record shall be accompanied by the opinion of the examiner. The minutes shall be signed by the chairman and the members of the examination board present at the rigorous examination.
- (8) If the candidate is unable to take part in the rigorous examination for serious reasons, he/she shall be obliged to apologise in writing to the chairman of the examination board in advance or, at the latest, within three working days after the date of the rigorous examination, if there were serious obstacles which prevented him/her from apologising in advance. In such a case, the faculty shall set an alternative date for the candidate to take the rigorous examination.
- (9) If the candidate fails to appear for the rigorous examination without an excuse, or if the chair of the examination board does not accept his/her excuse pursuant to section 7, he/she shall be graded with the classification grade "failed" for that date of the rigorous examination.

#### **Article 8**

##### **Retake of the rigorous examination**

- (1) A candidate who has been graded "fail" at the regular rigorous examination date shall be entitled to one remedial examination.
- (2) The Dean shall send a written notification of the fact that the candidate has been graded "fail" at the regular date of the rigorous examination. In the written notification, the dean shall at the same time inform the candidate of the possibility to take the rigorous examination on the correction date.
- (3) A candidate who has been evaluated with the classification grade "failed" at the regular rigorous examination date may take a correction term of the rigorous examination on the basis of a written request not earlier than three months and not later than within 12 months from the date of the regular rigorous examination date. The application for the correction of the rigorous examination shall be accompanied by
  - a) proof of payment of the fee for the correction of the rigorous examination in the amount specified by a specific internal regulation of CU; and
  - b) a revised or amended rigorous thesis, if the defence of the rigorous thesis at the regular date of the rigorous examination was evaluated with the classification grade "failed" and the examination committee decided on the need to revise or amend the rigorous thesis.
- (4) The provisions of Article 7 shall apply equally to the correction term of the rigorous examination. The candidate shall repeat only those parts of the rigorous examination in respect of which he/she was assessed with the classification grade "failed" at the regular date of the rigorous examination.
- (5) If the candidate has been evaluated at the retake of the rigorous examination with the classification degree "failed", the Dean shall discontinue the rigorous examination procedure.



	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.:
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..

### Article 9 Award of the academic degree

- (1) The University shall issue to candidates who have passed the rigorous examination and have submitted a confirmation of payment of the appropriate fee pursuant to Article 10 section 1) a diploma indicating their field of study and shall confer on them the academic degree of 'Doctor of Philosophy' (abbreviated as 'PhDr.').<sup>9</sup>
- (2) The definition of the academic degree to be conferred on the candidate after passing the rigorous examination shall be contained in the description of the field of study.<sup>10</sup>
- (3) A register of graduates of the rigorous examination procedure who have been awarded an academic degree pursuant to section (1) shall be kept by the Education Department of the Rector's Office of Comenius University.
- (4) The matrix of graduates of the rigorous examination procedure who have been awarded an academic degree pursuant to section (1) shall be kept by the Education Department of the Rector's Office of the University.
- (5) The awarding of diplomas to graduates of the rigorous procedure shall take place at an academic ceremony<sup>11</sup> on dates determined by the Rectorate of Comenius University. The awarding of diplomas to graduates of the rigorous examination shall be regulated by a special internal regulation of the University.<sup>12</sup>

### Article 10 Fees associated with the rigorous examination

- (1) The amount of the fee for the rigorous examination and the fee for the acts connected with the issue of the diploma conferring the academic degree to a graduate of a master's degree who has passed the rigorous examination shall be regulated by a special internal regulation of the Comenius University.<sup>13</sup>
- (2) The form of payment, the due date and the conditions for reducing or remission of the fees associated with studies pursuant to section (1), or for postponing their due dates, shall be regulated by the Statute of the Comenius University and by a special internal regulation of the Comenius University<sup>14</sup>.

<sup>9</sup> § 50 sec. 9 of the Higher Education Act.


<sup>10</sup> § 50 sec. 4, letter. f) of the Higher Education Act.

<sup>11</sup> Art. 9 sec. 2 of the Statute of CU

<sup>12</sup> IR CU no. 1/2005 as amended by Appendices 1-4 Matriculation and Graduation Regulations of Comenius University

<sup>13</sup> Annexes to the internal regulation of CU regulating the amount of tuition fees and fees associated with study at Comenius University, issued by the Rector of CU for the relevant academic year

<sup>14</sup> An internal regulation of CU governing the amount of tuition and fees associated with study at CU, issued by the Rector of Comenius University for the relevant academic year

	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.:
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..

**Article 11  
Common provisions**

- (1) The Act No. 71/1967 Coll. on Administrative Proceedings (Administrative Procedure Code), as amended, shall not apply to the proceedings and decision-making in matters relating to the rigorous examination procedure.<sup>35</sup>
- (2) Provisions on the service of documents pursuant to the relevant part of the Study Regulations of JFMED, which regulates proceedings in matters of students' academic rights and obligations, shall apply accordingly to the service of documents in the rigorous proceedings.

**Article 12  
Transitional provisions**

- (1) Rigorous proceedings commenced and pending before the date of entry into force of these principles shall be completed in accordance with these principles. The legal effects of submissions, decisions and other acts in the rigorous procedure which occurred before the date of entry into force of these principles shall remain unaffected.


**Article 13  
Final provisions**

- (1) This Internal Regulation shall be valid from the date of its approval and shall take effect on 5 September 2023.

**prof. Andrea Čalkovská, M.D., DSc.**  
Dean of JFMED CU

---


<sup>35</sup> § 108 sec. 1 of the Higher Education Act.

	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.:
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..

## Annex 1

### Content and formal requirements for the rigorous thesis

- 1) The rigorous thesis is a written work, by which the candidate demonstrates his/her ability to independently acquire and literary process scientific knowledge obtained by other authors, to acquire his/her own new knowledge and to apply the acquired knowledge in a creative way in practice and in the rigorous thesis.
- 2) The thesis should be an original. It must not be a modified or reworked diploma or other progress and qualification thesis and must not be exclusively of a compilation character.
- 3) The length of the thesis shall be at least 80 pages of A<sub>4</sub> text, i.e. 4 AH, typed or written in a typewriter or in a PC word processor with approximately 30 lines per page and 60 strokes per line. The thesis should be bound in a hard cover with a binding.
- 4) On the cover of the thesis, the name "Comenius University in Bratislava" is indicated under the upper margin, one line below "Jessenius Medical Faculty in Martin". In the middle is the title of the thesis, below it 'Rigorous Thesis', in the next line the name of the applicant. Below in the middle Martin and the year of submission of the thesis.
- 5) On the title page, in addition to the data on the cover of the thesis, there is also the "field of study" in which the candidate has applied for the rigorous examination.
- 6) After the title page there is an "Affidavit" in which the candidate declares that he/she has prepared the thesis independently using the cited literature. He/she further declares that the submitted rigorous thesis has not been used as a thesis or other progressive thesis. The candidate shall date and sign this affidavit.
- 7) The thesis must have an introduction, a list of abbreviations used, separate professional chapters, a list of literature used, a conclusion, an abstract (1 page) with keywords in Slovak and in the world language and, if applicable, appendices. Pages of appendices are not numbered and do not count towards the required length. Individual chapters should always appear on a new page in the thesis. Since the rigorous thesis must not be purely compilatory, the chapters presenting the results of the thesis must include the objectives, methodology and results of the research. In the discussion, the author will comment on the fulfilment of the thesis objectives, confront his/her own results with those of other authors and formulate recommendations for practice.
- 8) Further content and formal requirements for the rigorous thesis are regulated by Internal Regulation No. 12/2013 as amended by Appendix No. 1 and Appendix No. 2 of the Directive of the Rector of the Comenius University in Bratislava on the basic requirements of theses, rigorous theses and habilitation theses, control of their originality, storage and access to them at the Comenius University in Bratislava.

	<b>JESSENIUS FACULTY OF MEDICINE IN MARTIN, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY</b>	Int. doc. no.: IR 33/2023 Copy no.: 1 Archiving for: 10 r..
	Internal Directive of the Dean of JFMED CU on the principles of the rigorous examination procedure at the JFMED CU in Martin	

## Annex 2

### Broader-based subjects

#### 1. study field/programme "Public Health":

- Determinants of health and disease
- Environmental health
- Occupational preventive medicine
- Epidemiology of infectious and chronic diseases
- Prevention, protection and promotion of public health

#### 2. study field/programme "Nursing":

- Nursing (theory and models in nursing)
- Nursing research
- Clinical nursing

#### 3. study field/programme "Midwifery":

- Midwifery
- Midwifery in clinical practice
- Research in midwifery